IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT APPEAL NO : 1790 of 2002

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 21/08/2002 in W.V.M.P No. 1438 of 2002 and W.P.M.P No. 3185 of 2002 in WP NO : 2650 OF 2002 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

Gachibowli Weaker Sections Plots Holder Association Survey

No.32 to 40, Regd.No.1308/1992, represented by its

President D.Sakunthalabai, W/o.late Maruthi Rao,

R/o.Flat No.105, Century Apartments, Mehdipatnam,

Hyderabad.

..... APPELLANT

AND

1 The State of A.P., rep. by its Secretary,
Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Managing Director, A.P. State Housing Corporation
Lakdikapool, Hyderabad, AP.
3 The Vice-Chairman HUDA, Hyderabad, AP.
4 The District Collector, RR. District, Hyderabad, AP.
5 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division, Domalguda,

Hyderabad, AP.
6 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Serilingampally, RR.District, AP.
7 M/s R.R.Kamdar & Co., Nampally, Hyderabad
rep.by its Managing Partner, Anil Kumar Kamdar.
8 M/s Kastopah Corporation, Nampally, Hyderabad
rep.by its Managing Partner, Anil Kumar Kamdar.

.....RESPONDENTS

For the Appellant :Mr. PRATTIPATI VENKATESWARLU, Advocate.
For Respondent Nos.1, 4, 5 and 6: Government Pleader for Assignments.
For Respondent No. 2: Mr. V.C.H. Naidu, Standing Counsel.
For Respondent No. 3: Mr. S. Satyam Reddy, Standing Counsel.
For Respondent Nos. 7 and 8: Mr. N. Sridhar Reddy, Advocate.

The Court made the following Judgment:(per Sri B. Sudershan Reddy, J)

This writ appeal itself is directed against an interlocutory order passed by a learned single Judge of this Court modifying the initial order of status quo. The dispute relates to the possession of a vast extent of land abutting the city of Hyderabad. Allegations and counter allegations are levelled by the parties.

Be it noted, a Division Bench of this Court after admitting the writ appeal on 27.11.2002 against the interlocutory order, passed the following order on 6.1.2003 in W.A M.P. No. 1 of 2003:

> "Status quo obtaining as on today shall be maintained until further orders. Notice".

That order is in operation ever since 6.1.2003. Interests of justice would be met by maintaining the same order passed by the Division Bench on 6.1.2003 until the disposal of the writ petition. The writ petition itself is required to be heard expeditiously to put an end to the controversy between the parties.

Registry is, therefore, directed to obtain suitable orders from the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and post the writ petition for hearing on 09.08.2004 before the learned Judge hearing writ petitions, at the top of the list.

The Writ Appeal is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

(B. Sudershan Reddy, J)

28..07..2004

(K.C. Bhanu, J)

ks

DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Government of

A.P, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Managing Director, A.P. State Housing Corporation
Lakdikapool, Hyderabad, AP.
3 The Vice-Chairman HUDA, Hyderabad, AP.
4 The District Collector, RR. District, Hyderabad, AP.
5 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division, Domalguda,

Hyderabad, AP.
6 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Serilingampally, RR.District, AP.

7 2 CCs to the Government Pleader for Assignments, High Court

of A.P, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.

8. 2 CD copies.

9. The SO, Writ Filing Section, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.

10. The SO, Posting Section, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.